## Student Opinion of Teaching Questionnaire Results

This form contains evaluation results for ANALYTC GEOMETRY \& CALCULUS 1(MATH-220).
Attached is a report in PDF format containing your Student Opinion of Teaching Survey from last term. The report is best viewed and/or printed in color.

At the request of the Office of the Provost a few revisions have been made to the report since the fall term 2010 reports were sent.

The evaluation results are still broken down into three distinct categories. The first part of the report shows a breakdown of student responses to the quantitative questions. For each item, the number of students ( n ) who responded, the average or mean (av.) and standard deviation (dev.) are displayed next to a chart or histogram that shows the percentage of the class who responded to each option for that question. The percentages are above the number on the rating scale which increases from left to right, i.e. the number 1 equals the least favorable rating and the number 5 equals the most favorable rating. The sum of percentages will equal 100\%. A red mark is displayed on the chart where the average or mean is located. To calculate how many students responded to each option, multiply the number of students who answered the question by the percentage for that option. For example, if 14 students answered the question and $50 \%$ responded to option 3 then 7 students marked option 3 for that item (14 $x .50=7$ ). The standard deviation is a common measure of dispersion around the mean that may be useful in interpreting the results.

If your school had previously calculated norms, they will be on OMET's website (omet.pitt.edu).
The second part displays individual comments to each question in the open-ended section of the evaluation. All the responses to the first question will be listed together after the first question and then the responses to the next question will be listed together after the next question, and so on.

The final part gives you a profile of the student responses to the quantitative section of the evaluation. This is a chart listing all of the means for the scaled items with a dashed red line connecting the means.

If you would like help in understanding the statistics on your report, please call the OMET office 412-624-6440 to schedule an appointment with the research consultant. We will not give value judgments about your ratings.

If the number of respondents for any of the scaled items is fewer than seven, please be cautious in interpreting the quantitative results.

Office of Measurement and Evaluation of Teaching (OMET)

## Professor Krzysztof Kapulkin <br> ANALYTC GEOMETRY \& CALCULUS 1(MATH-220) <br> Summer 2011 <br> RESPONDENTS $=100 \%$ OF NUMBER REGISTERED

## 1. SELF RATINGS

${ }^{1.1)}$ Compared to other courses at the same level, the amount of work I did was:
${ }^{1.2)}$ In this course I have learned:
${ }^{3}$ ) The grade I expect in this course is:
$\mathrm{n}=18$ av. $=3.72$ av. $=3.72$
dev. $=0.67$
$\mathrm{n}=18$ av. $=3.61$ dev. $=0.92$

## 2. TEACHING EVALUATION

${ }^{2.1)}$ The instructor presented the course in an organized manner.
${ }^{2.2)}$ The instructor stimulated my thinking.
${ }^{2.3)}$ The instructor evaluated my work fairly.
${ }^{2.4)}$ The instructor made good use of examples to clarify concepts.
${ }^{2.5)}$ The instructor maintained a good learning environment.

[^0]

## 2.7) Express your judgment of the instructor's overall teaching effectiveness:



Excellent
$\mathrm{n}=18$ av. $=4.39$ dev. $=0.7$

| Probably not $\square$ | $27.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Probably yes $\square$ | $61.1 \%$ |
| Definitely yes $\square$ | $11.1 \%$ |

${ }^{2.9)}$ Would you recommend this instructor to other students?


## 3. MATH TA/TF ADDITIONAL ITEMS

${ }^{3.1)}$ Did you experience difficulty in comprehending your lecture instructor's spoken language in class?

${ }^{3.2)}$ Did your lecture instructor experience difficulty in comprehending the questions that were asked by students in class?

${ }^{3.3)}$ The lecture instructor's writing on the chalkboard was legible.

${ }^{3.4)}$ The lecture instructor's attitude toward the subject was enthusiastic.

| Hardly at all I | $0 \%$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| To a small degree I | $0 \%$ |
| To a moderate degree | $0 \%$ |
| To a considerable degree | $\square$ |
| To a very high degree |  |
|  | $22.2 \%$ |

${ }^{3.5)}$ Compare to most courses I've taken, the lecture instructor treated students with respect.

| Much less | $0 \%$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Somewhat less | $0 \%$ |
| About the same $\square$ | $44.4 \%$ |
| Somewhat more $\square$ | $27.8 \%$ |
| Much more $\square$ | $27.8 \%$ |

${ }^{3.6)}$ The lecture instructor was available for help during his/her office hours.

| Very seldom I | $0 \%$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Sometimes | $0 \%$ |
| Frequently |  |
| Almost always |  |
| Cannot judge |  |
|  | $\square$ |
| 2 | $27.8 \%$ |

${ }^{3.7)}$ The lecture instructor arrived for class on time.

| Rarely (less than $20 \%$ of the time) |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Seldom (20-40\% of the time) | $0 \%$ |
| About half the time (40-70\% of the time) | $0 \%$ |
| Usually (70-90\% of the time) | $0 \%$ |
| Over $90 \%$ of the time | $0 \%$ |

${ }^{3.8)}$ Lecture instructor provided the opportunity for questions.

${ }^{3.9)}$ Helpful answers were given to questions raised in class.

${ }^{3.10)}$ Would you recommend this lecture instructor to a friend taking this course?

| Not at all I | $0 \%$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Unlikely \| | $0 \%$ |
| Don't know $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $0 \%$ |
| Maybe $\square$ | $11.1 \%$ |
| Definitely $\square$ | $88.9 \%$ |

4. TEACHING COMMENTS
${ }^{4.1)}$ What were the instructor's major strengths?

Funny, easy to understand, knew/ taught material well
very knowledgeable about inuth, kept. stuctents entertained during class, aco'owed questions
maintained comfortable ewwirnment, yod humor shewed very good uncterstanding of the subject

Chis was organize, very knowledgeable, and ale to answerer all questions. He made me actually want to attends lecture
grades fair
good learning environment I enthusiastic

Kiss made the topics interesting by frequently telling jokes. That kept the class cuwake and alert.

He made the material vol easy to learn. I was able toundestend things a lot better this tine.

Very triedly and approachable
Fancy
Very Krowledgatle
Always cager to help and loves questions
The instructor always made class fun and Interesting. Had good examples.

- very knowledgubio
- avoilabiling
through knowledge of math
- He explained every topic with consiclerable amount of depthond clarity (especially bor a fort paced 6 -week course)
- Ore of the few teachers a can follow logically w/ every word and phrase.

Keep's class inulved a knouldegs of matomal

Ability to rejecognize when the chs was lost $\varepsilon$ to bring humor into math to encourage us. I learned a lot during lecture

He was very accessible to students and was extremely organized and willing to answer questions.
chis was very accessible and extremely fain with grueling, homework la ads, and listened to any complaints we had.

Aims to make sure that everyone understands the material. very helpfol/open to questions.
${ }^{4.2)}$ What were the instructor's major weaknesses?
Always wanted questions tstopeed class to frequently so people
could ask then
office hours were not very convenient sometimes class examples did not help with the homework

NONE

Sometimes it wald be hard th ask a avestion on have hin mdertand it right away but he would always eventually anne it.

More albanples in class ward be very helptont

- Non
going through too fast
- Not much that a cen think of!

No mego, somitival wild have difficult, understudy what effect, sumeare down und-sted

An oddball spelling error on words Ind sometimes also Mess up. It did not prohibit class learning

Maejbe just speed, but I understand during a 6 wk cause this is hard

The instructor's only weakness ewing thee course was his lack of a krowledgabie TA. Chess hod. to do far mender explaney then should have fallen to hies.

No major weaknesses, but could possibly make use of more examples.
${ }^{5.1)}$ What aspects of this course were most beneficial to you? $\qquad$

Learning call
going te the MAC

The instructor is always avallaiter for help.

## The lectures.

Homework

- examples in class
office hours
- The Hw assugment and con-capa problem created a great oppurtmity to practice the problems that will be ore on quires and exams.

Math Center r availability of TA

The course is only 6 weeks

The office hours given by the lecteror + other TA's

Not having to simplify my equations. I was tested on cake., not my algerbra hackg.oncet. (This time aromad)

Physics Applications
${ }^{5.2)}$ What suggestions do you have to improve the course?

No Lon Lap
get a better TA.

A better ta
organize and control recitation better.

Less lon capo

Lon cape was terrible

- H.W should nat bo graded so haters
- dint avon ballot showing profs
- Lon Capa is annoying, assign mors the problems and no Loncapa assignmernfr

If its gang to be device in sir wakes, then it needs to be a little bit loss material, because I didu't have a form understanding of one section before moving on to the next and eadr. ene defeats on previous ones.

- Maybe more HW questions (more practice = trighen grackle)
- Trade HL problems only fur completion, (losing uneccesary point on HW al tried hood to do.)

Made lan Capo easter to use
$N / A$

Make con-capa assignments der more spread out and for the TH to give more clan'te, direction thelp

Chins should be aliowed to personally select his TA.

N/A

## Profile

Subunit:
Name of the instructor:
Name of the course:
(Name of the survey)

A\&S-MATH
Professor Krzysztof Kapulkin,
ANALYTC GEOMETRY \& CALCULUS 1(MATH-220) (10243)
1.1) Compared to other courses at the same level, the amount of work I did was:
1.2) In this course I have learned:
2.1) The instructor presented the course in an organized manner.
2.2) The instructor stimulated my thinking.
2.3) The instructor evaluated my work fairly.
2.4) The instructor made good use of examples to clarify concepts.
2.5) The instructor maintained a good learning environment.
2.6) The instructor was accessible to students. (Do not answer if no basis to judge)
2.7) Express your judgment of the instructor's overall teaching effectiveness:



[^0]:    ${ }^{2.6)}$ The instructor was accessible to students. (Do not answer if no basis to judge)

