

## A remark on the values of the Riemann zeta function

Ján Mináč

**Abstract.** We are connecting the values of the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$  at all nonpositive integers  $a$  with the partial sums  $S_a(M) = \sum_{n=1}^{M-1} n^a$  as  $\int_0^1 S_a(x) dx = \zeta(-a)$ . We shall prove this relationship in two ways: one of them uses Bernoulli numbers, and the other uses the formula in "Landau's Handbuch", which relates to the values of the  $\zeta$  function.

**1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 11M00.

**Key words and phrases.** Riemann zeta function, Bernoulli polynomials, Bernoulli numbers.

Supported in part by NSERC grant S333A1.

The Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$ ,  $s = \sigma + it$ , is the analytical continuation of the function  $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$ ,  $\sigma > 1$ , to the whole plane except where  $s = 1$  where  $\zeta(s)$  has a simple pole. (See e.g. [Tit] or [I-R], Chapter 16.)

In particular, one may consider  $\zeta(-a)$  for each  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ . The remarkable fact is that all of the values of  $\zeta(-1) = -1/12$ ,  $\zeta(-2) = 0$ ,  $\zeta(-3) = 1/120$ ,  $\zeta(-4) = 0$ ,  $\zeta(-5) = -1/252$ , etc., are rational numbers.

The question becomes: Is there any connection between the values of  $\zeta(-a)$  and the partial sums  $S_a(M) := \sum_{n=1}^{M-1} n^a$ ?

It is well-known that  $S_a(M)$  are expressible as polynomials in  $M$ , of the degree  $a + 1$  with rational coefficients. For example  $S_1(M) = \frac{M(M-1)}{2}$ . It seems that the following interesting fact hasn't been recorded yet.

**Fact.**

$$\zeta(-a) = \int_0^1 S_a(x) dx, \quad \text{for each } a \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Examples.**

$$\zeta(-1) = \int_0^1 \frac{x(x-1)}{2} dx = -\frac{1}{12}$$

$$\zeta(-2) = \int_0^1 \frac{x(x-1)(2x-1)}{6} dx = 0$$

$$\zeta(-3) = \int_0^1 \frac{(x(x-1))^2}{4} dx = \frac{1}{120}$$

$$\zeta(-4) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{5} \left( x^5 - \frac{5}{2}x^4 + \frac{5}{3}x^3 - \frac{1}{6}x \right) dx = 0$$

$$\zeta(-5) = \int_0^1 \frac{x^6 - 3x^5 + \frac{5}{2}x^4 - \frac{x^2}{2}}{6} dx = -\frac{1}{252}, \text{ etc.}$$

The formula above holds true also for  $a = 0$ . Indeed, then it is well-known that  $\zeta(0) = -1/2 = \int_0^1 (x-1) dx$ .

In the proof of the Fact above, we have used the following well-known facts about Bernoulli numbers and polynomials. (See [I-R], Chapter 15, including Exercises 12–17 on Page 248.)

Let  $B_k$  be the  $k^{\text{th}}$  Bernoulli number for each  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $B_0(x) = 1$ ,  $B_m(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} B_k x^{m-k}$  the  $m^{\text{th}}$  Bernoulli polynomial for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $B_1 = -1/2$ ,  $0 = B_3 = B_5 = \dots$ ,  $B_m(1) = (-1)^m B_m = (-1)^m B_m(0)$ ,  $\frac{d}{dx} B_m(x) = m B_{m-1}(x)$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Finally  $S_a(M) = \frac{B_{a+1}(M) - B_{a+1}(1)}{a+1}$ , and  $\zeta(-a) = (-1)^a \frac{B_{a+1}}{a+1} = -\frac{B_{a+1}}{a+1}$  for each  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ . (See [I-R], Chapter 16.)

*The proof of the fact.* Let  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 S_a(x) dx &= \int_0^1 \frac{B_{a+1}(x) - B_{a+1}(1)}{a+1} dx \\ &= (-1)^a \frac{B_{a+1}}{a+1} + \frac{B_{a+2}(1) - B_{a+2}(0)}{(a+1)(a+2)} \\ &= (-1)^a \frac{B_{a+1}}{a+1} = \zeta(-a). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed if  $a$  is even then  $B_{a+2}(1) - B_{a+2}(0) = B_{a+2}(1) - B_{a+2} = 0$  and if  $a$  is odd, then both  $B_{a+2}(1)$  and  $B_{a+2}(0)$  are 0. ■

There are, of course, many other ways in which to see this formula. One way is to use the beautiful formula

$$(s-1)(\zeta(s) - 1) - 1 = - \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{(s-1)s \cdots (s+q-1)}{(q+1)!} (\zeta(s+q) - 1) \tag{1}$$

([Lan], page 274).

Using this formula, and the fact that  $\zeta(s)$  has the unique simple pole  $s = 1$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} (s-1)\zeta(s) = 1$ , one can prove our statement without using Bernoulli numbers.

Indeed for each  $a = 1, 2, \dots$  we obtain from (1)

$$\frac{(-1)^{a+1}}{a+2} = \sum_{k=0}^a \binom{a+1}{k+1} (-1)^k \zeta(k-a). \tag{2}$$

On the other hand we have for  $a = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $M = 2, 3, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} (M-1)^{a+1} &= 1 + (2^{a+1} - 1) + (3^{a+1} - 2^{a+1}) + \dots \\ &\quad + ((M-1)^{a+1} - (M-2)^{a+1}) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{M-1} (n^{a+1} - (n-1)^{a+1}) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{M-1} ((a+1)n^a - \binom{a+1}{2}n^{a-1} + \dots + (-1)^n) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^a \binom{a+1}{k+1} (-1)^k S_{a-k}(M). \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the identity

$$0 = 1 - (a+1) + \binom{a+1}{2} - \binom{a+1}{3} + \dots + (-1)^{a+1}.$$

Plugging in  $x$  instead of  $M$ , and taking the integral from 0 to 1, we obtain.

$$\frac{(-1)^{a+1}}{a+2} = \sum_{k=0}^a \binom{a+1}{k+1} (-1)^k \int_0^1 S_{a-k}(x) dx. \tag{3}$$

Using (2), (3) and the fact that  $\int_0^1 S_0(x) dx = \zeta(0)$  we conclude:  $\zeta(-a) = \int_0^1 S_a(x) dx$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

It would be interesting to develop some similar formulas for some other values of the Riemann zeta function. It would also be exciting to extend this formula for other zeta functions.

*Acknowledgements.* Discussions with Professor David Borwein and Roman Dvilewicz regarding the possible applications of the formula above was also useful for the writing of these notes. Clive Reis and Leslie Hallock succeeded in cutting out all of my articles, as well as introducing all articles present; thus forever crushing my hopes to learn English properly.

## References

- [I-R] K. Ireland and M. Rosen, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, Second Edition*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **84** (1990), Springer-Verlag.
- [Lan] E. Landau, *Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen, Third Edition*, (two volumes in one), Chelsea Publishing Company, 1974.
- [Tit] E.C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function, Second Edition*, (revised by D.R. Heath-Brown), Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986.

Received: 02.05.94

The University of Western Ontario  
London, Ontario Canada  
N6A 5B7