Course Outline 2023-24

Instructor: N/A **Student Hours:**

• Tuesdays, 13:30 Eastern

• Wednesdays, 13:30 Eastern

• By appointment Contact: OWL Messages

GTA: TBA

Student Hours: TBA Contact: OWL Messages

Winter Term 2024 Lecture (001)

LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We acknowledge that Western University is located on the traditional lands of the Anishinaabek, Haudenosaunee, Lūnaapéewak, and Attawandaron peoples, on lands connected with the London Township and Sombra Treaties of 1796 and the Dish with One Spoon Covenant Wampum. With this, we respect the longstanding relationships that Indigenous Nations have to this land, as they are the original caretakers. We acknowledge historical and ongoing injustices that Indigenous Peoples (e.g., First Nations, Métis, and Inuit) endure in Canada, and we accept responsibility as a public institution to contribute toward revealing and correcting miseducation as well as renewing respectful relationships with Indigenous communities through our teaching, research, and community service.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Contemporary Western philosophy (both the Anglo-American and Continental streams) often traces its source to the texts and themes of Western early modern philosophy. It is common for René Descartes to be presented as "the father of modern philosophy" and for contemporary practitioners to label themselves or their positions as "Kantian," "Humean," or "Cartesian." It is also common for classes dedicated to contemporary Western treatments of philosophical topics to begin with selected readings from Western early modern texts. But philosophy in the early modern period (1550–1800 CE) was also its own endeavor, a unique set of philosophical thinkers

COURSE GOAL

This course introduces students to the study of Western early modern philosophy. This includes introducing them to the unique methodology used by historians of early modern philosophy as well as providing guidance regarding good practices. Also introduced will be some of the key figures, texts, topics, and themes that dominated philosophical practice in Western Europe during the early modern period (1550-1800).





Course Outline 2023-24

working within various traditions and against various historical and cultural backgrounds to wrestle with challenges and concerns both universal to the human condition as well as unique to their own times and periods. This course introduces students to several key figures, texts, topics, and themes that arose within the Western European philosophical tradition during the early modern era. It also introduces students to the unique intellectual activity of the history of philosophy and will develop their abilities to use, appreciate, and engage in research in the history of early modern philosophy. Students enrolled in the course can expect to study selected texts from Michel de Montaigne, Rene Descartes, Princess Elisabeth, Margaret Cavendish, Gottfried Leibniz, John Locke, George Berkeley, David Hume, Thomas Hobbes, Bernard Mandeville, Joseph Butler, Baruch Spinoza, Mary Astell, Marie Jean Antoine Nicolas de Caritat Marquis de Condorcet, Olympe de Gouges, Julien Raimond, Ottobah Cugoano, and Mary Wollstonecraft. Students can also expect exercises and activities that will develop their abilities to interpret historical texts, defend their interpretations, and assess the interpretations of others.

This is a theoretical course in academic philosophy. This means that it is focused on knowledge development and learning outcomes involving understanding the readings and developing the skills necessary for philosophy as practiced by academics. Regular attendance, preparation, and full engagement with class discussions are essential to properly experiencing the course.

Prerequisites: None Antirequisites: None

Unless you have either the requisites for this course or written special permission from your dean to enroll in it, you may be removed from this course, and it will be deleted from your record. This decision may not be appealed. You will receive no adjustment to your fees in the event that you are dropped from a course for failing to have the necessary prerequisites.

PREFERRED NAMES AND PRONOUNS

If you prefer to be called something other than the name on file with the Registrar, please let us know. Please also feel free to specify the pronoun(s) you wish to be referred to by. If anyone mispronounces your name or forgets your preferred name/pronoun, please correct them. I always greatly appreciate being corrected when I mispronounce or forget someone's preferred name/pronoun.



Course Outline 2023-24

COURSE LEARNING OUTCOMES

See <u>Appendix 1</u> for the alignment of the course learning outcomes with the program and Western degree learning outcomes.

By the end of this course, successful students will be able to:

- 1. **Articulate** the major themes that animated philosophical discourse in the early modern period, primarily in Western Europe, in oral and written contexts.
- 2. **Restate** and **Explain** the primary theories and arguments examined in this course in oral and written contexts.
- 3. **Question** the theories and arguments presented by the figures and texts studied in oral and written contexts.
- 4. **Apply** knowledge about the intellectual contexts of the figures and texts studied to interpretations of those texts in oral and written contexts.
- 5. **Compare** and **Contrast** interpretations of the figures and texts studied in oral and written contexts.
- 6. **Collect** and **Arrange** premises and conclusion(s) from the texts studied into rigorous argument reconstructions in oral and written contexts.
- 7. **Construct** coherent and plausible contextualist defenses of their own interpretations and reconstructions in oral and written contexts.
- 8. **Evaluate** from a contextualist standpoint the coherence and plausibility of interpretations in oral and written contexts.

ICLICKER CLOUD

We will be using iClicker Cloud to track class attendance. iClicker Cloud is a centrally supported active learning tool. Information about the tool and Western's support of it can be located at Western's <u>eLearning Toolkit</u> and <u>Western ITS</u>. See also the <u>iClicker website</u>. This tool requires students to download an app to their laptop, smartphone, or tablet. Information about loading the app can be found at the iClicker website linked above and will be presented in class. For more information about how we will be using iClicker Cloud, see <u>Appendix 2</u>.

METHODS OF EVALUATION

All assignments are due at 23:59 Eastern on their specified due dates and must be submitted via OWL. Assignments that have an automatic 48-hour grace period may be submitted via OWL as "late" but without any late penalty. To submit an assignment after the 48-hour grace period, when the OWL submission portal has closed, contact Dr. Hill and, if necessary, your Academic Dean, regarding an academic accommodation and alternative submission date. Assignments that are designated "Pass/Fail" will be scored as follows: Pass with Distinction = 100; Pass = 70; Fail (with submission) = 40; No Submission = 0.



Course Outline 2023-24

The use of AI is not permitted for Assignments or Assessments in this course, including any Extra Credit Opportunities. If plagiarism or unauthorized AI use is suspected, the instructor will ask for research notes and/or drafts of assignments after the assignment has been submitted. Students must keep all notes and first drafts until the assignment is returned to them. Western's guidance on generative AI is available here.

Reading Review Questions (10%) Short Interpretative Essay (20%) Midterm Examination (30%) Final Examination (40%)

Reading Review Questions (10%) [Formative Assessment] [Learning Outcomes: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]

Students are required to answer questions about each of the assigned readings. The questions will be a mix of Study Questions from the textbook and questions authored by Dr. Hill. The questions will be located in OWL Quizzes and will be graded Pass/Fail. Responses that fail to be relevant to the prompt, fail to adequately or appropriately respond to the prompt, or are manifestly wrong may warrant a failing mark for the assignment. These assessments are eligible for the automatic 48-hour grace period. They are due one week after the class in which the assigned reading are discussed.

Short Interpretative Essay (20%) [Summative Assessment] [Learning Outcomes: 6, 7, 8]

Students are required to write a short (750–1000 word) essay providing a coherent and plausible philosophical interpretation of a selected passage from our assigned texts. The eligible passages will be specified in the OWL Assignment Information. The assignment is eligible for the automatic 48-hour grace period and will be graded numerically and qualitatively. It is due at 23:59 Eastern on 15 Mar 2024. For more information about this and a guide to the process of interpreting texts, please see Appendix 3.

Midterm Examination (30%) [Summative Assessment] [Learning Outcomes: 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]

Students are required to write a timed (90 min) midterm examination. The examination will be administered via OWL Quizzes and will be open book/notes/resources. It will be graded numerically. Students will be provided with a 72-hour window in which to write the exam, which will consist of a mix of question types including true/false, fill in the blank, multiple choice, and short answer questions. The exam will be due at 23:59 Eastern on 16 Feb 2024 and cover material through 07 Feb 2024.

Final Examination (40%) [Summative Assessment] [Learning Outcomes: 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]





Course Outline 2023-24

Students are required to write a cumulative, 3-hour final examination. The final examination will be administered via OWL Quizzes and will be open book/notes/resources. It will be graded numerically and consist of a mix of question-types. Students will be given a 72-hour window by the Registrar (TBD) in which to write the examination.

Extra Credit Opportunities [Learning Outcomes: 1, 2, 3, 8]

Opportunities for extra credit may be made available throughout the term. Some may be for extra credit on the score of a particular assignment; some may be for extra credit on one's course grade. All opportunities will be announced on OWL with the expectations and potential credits specified.

- ExCr Opportunity #1: "Critical Review" Due 12 Apr 2024 [Learning outcomes: 1, 2, 3, 8] Max +10 points on your final grade. Graded by Dr. Hill. Details of the assignment and rubric are contained in Appendix 4. Only projects that have received prior special permission from Dr. Hill will be graded. Deadline for Receiving Permission: 01 Mar 2024. The resources available for review are:
 - a) Justin E. H. Smith, *Nature, Human Nature, and Human Difference: Race in Early Modern Philosophy*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2015. (Available as an e-book <u>via Weldon</u>.)
 - b) Richard King, *Indian Philosophy: An Introduction to Hindu and Buddhist Thought*, Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2008. (Available as an e-book via Weldon.)
 - c) H. Floris Cohen, The Rise of Modern Science Explained: A Comparative History, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2015. (Available as an e-book <u>via Weldon</u>.)

Essay Course Word Count

As a course that satisfies the senior-level essay course requirement, students enrolled in this course should expect to submit a minimum of 2500 words of written work.

Department Target Average Policy

The Philosophy Department has a policy requiring a 74 average for each 2nd-year course. The grades I assign will be in the OWL Gradebook. Should there be any discrepancy between the grade I recorded for you in OWL and your "official" grade reported by the Registrar, you should contact the Philosophy Department Undergraduate Chair for clarification and explanation. Western's current policies and procedures for undergraduate student academic appeals can be found here.

STUDENT ABSENCES



Course Outline 2023-24

If you are unable to meet a course requirement due to illness or other serious circumstances, please follow the procedures below.

Assessments worth less than 10% of the overall course grade:

Please submit the assessment to Dr. Hill via OWL Messages before the final day of classes.

Assessments worth 10% or more of the overall course grade:

For work totalling 10% or more of the final course grade, you must provide valid medical or supporting documentation to the Academic Counselling Office of your Faculty of Registration as soon as possible. For further information, please consult University's medical illness policy at

https://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic_policies/appeals/accommodation_med ical.pdf.

The Student Medical Certificate is available at https://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic_policies/appeals/medicalform.pdf.

Absences from Final Examinations

If you miss the Final Exam, please contact the Academic Counselling office of your Faculty of Registration as soon as you are able to do so. They will assess your eligibility to write the Special Examination (the name given by the University to a makeup Final Exam).

You may also be eligible to write the Special Exam if you are in a "Multiple Exam Situation" (e.g., more than 2 exams in a 23-hour period, more than 3 exams in a 47-hour period).

REQUIRED TEXT

Eugene Marshall and Susanne Sreedhar, eds., A New Modern Philosophy: The Inclusive Anthology of Primary Sources (New York: Routledge, 2019), ISBN 9781138484344.

OWL

Students are responsible for checking the course OWL site (http://owl.uwo.ca) on a regular basis for news and updates. This is the primary method by which information will be disseminated to all students in the class.

All course material will be posted to OWL: http://owl.uwo.ca.

If students need assistance with the course OWL site, they can seek support on the OWL Help page. Alternatively, they can contact the Western Technology Services Helpdesk. They can be contacted by phone at 519-661-3800 or ext. 83800.



Course Outline 2023–24

PROFESSIONALISM

Education is a professional activity and campus is a professional environment. Everyone is expected to comport themselves in a manner appropriate for and conducive to such an environment. All academic activities, interactions, and conversations should be conducted in a respectful, inclusive, and professional manner. Judgmental, belittling, shaming, rude, ridiculing, bullying, and all other such behavior, comments, and attitudes are inappropriate and will not be tolerated. We require that all discussions be respectful and kind even when that discussion is critical, defensive, emotional, and contradictory.

COPYRIGHT

All original material presented in this course is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommerical-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License:

© Benjamin Hill, 2024



AUDIT

Students wishing to audit the course should consult with the instructor prior to or during the first week of classes.

DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY POLICIES

The **Department of Philosophy Policies** which govern the conduct, standards, and expectations for student participation in Philosophy courses are available in the Undergraduate section of the Department of Philosophy website here. It is your responsibility to understand the policies set out by the Senate and the Department of Philosophy, and thus ignorance of these policies cannot be used as grounds for appeal.

ACCOMMODATION

Students seeking academic accommodation on medical grounds for any missed tests, exams, participation components and/or assignments worth 10% or more of their final grade must apply to the Academic Counselling office of their home Faculty and provide documentation. Academic accommodation cannot be granted by the instructor or department. Documentation shall be submitted, as soon as possible, to the Office of the Dean of the student's Faculty of registration, together with a request for relief specifying the nature of the accommodation being requested. The Western Policy on Accommodation for Medical Illness and further information regarding this policy can be found here.

Religious Accommodation

Students should consult the University's list of recognized religious holidays, and should give reasonable notice in writing, prior to the holiday, to the Instructor and an Academic Counsellor if their course requirements will be affected by a religious observance.





Course Outline 2023-24

Additional information is given in the Western Multicultural Calendar.

Accessibility Accommodation

Students with disabilities are encouraged to contact Accessible Education, which provides recommendations for accommodation based on medical documentation or psychological and cognitive testing. The policy on Academic Accommodation for Students with Disabilities can be found at:

https://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic policies/appeals/Academic Accommodation disabilities.pdf.

EVALUATION OF ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

At least three days prior to the deadline for withdrawal from a course without academic penalty, students will receive an assessment of work accounting for at least 15% of their final grade. For 3000- or 4000-level courses in which such a graded assessment is impracticable, the instructor(s) must obtain an exemption from this policy from the Dean and this exemption must be noted on the corresponding course syllabus. In rare instances and at the Dean's discretion, other courses could receive a similar exemption, which also must be noted in the course syllabus.

For Philosop 2202G the following grade, which is worth 30% of your final grade, will be available prior to 1 March 2024:

• Midterm Examination

FINAL COURSE SUBMISSION DATE

The last day of scheduled classes in any course will be the last day on which course assignments will be accepted for credit in a course. Instructors will be required to return assignments to students as promptly as possible with reasonable explanations of the instructor's assessment of the assignment.

ACADEMIC OFFENCES

Scholastic offences are taken seriously, and students are directed to read the appropriate policy, specifically, the definition of what constitutes a Scholastic Offence, here.

PLAGIARISM CHECKING

All required papers may be subject to submission for textual similarity review to the commercial plagiarism detection software under license to the University for the detection of plagiarism. All papers submitted for such checking will be included as source documents in the reference database for the purpose of detecting plagiarism of papers subsequently submitted to the system. Use of the service is subject to the licensing agreement, currently between The University of Western Ontario and Turnitin.com.





Course Outline 2023-24

SUPPORT SERVICES

Registrarial Services
Student Support Services
Services provided by the USC
Student Development Centre

Academic Counselling

Your Home Faculty's Academic Counselling or Academic Advising Office will support or refer you whenever you have an issue that is affecting your studies, including information on adding/dropping courses, academic considerations for absences, appeals, exam conflicts, and many other academic-related matters. Do not hesitate to reach out to them if you are struggling and unsure where to go for help. Contact info for all Faculties is here: https://registrar.uwo.ca/faculty_academic_counselling.html

Mental Health Support

Students who are in emotional/mental distress should refer to Mental Health@Western (https://uwo.ca/health/) for a complete list of options about how to obtain help.

Gender-based and sexual violence

Western is committed to reducing incidents of gender-based and sexual violence and providing compassionate support to anyone who has gone through these traumatic events. If you have experienced sexual or gender-based violence (either recently or in the past), you will find information about support services for survivors, including emergency contacts at

https://www.uwo.ca/health/student_support/survivor_support/get-help.html.

To connect with a case manager or set up an appointment, please contact support@uwo.ca.

Accessible Education

Please contact the course instructor if you require lecture or printed material in an alternate format or if any other arrangements can make this course more accessible to you. You may also wish to contact Accessible Education at

http://academicsupport.uwo.ca/accessible_education/index.html

if you have any questions regarding accommodations.

Learning Development and Success

Counsellors at the Learning Development and Success Centre https://learning.uwo.ca) are ready to help you improve your learning skills. They offer presentations on



Course Outline 2023-24

strategies for improving time management, multiple-choice exam preparation/writing, textbook reading, and more. Individual support is offered throughout the Fall/Winter terms in the drop-in Learning Help Centre, and year-round through individual counselling.

Digital Student Experience

Western University is committed to a thriving campus as we deliver our courses in the mixed model of both virtual and face-to-face formats. We encourage you to check out the Digital Student Experience website to manage your academics and well-being: https://www.uwo.ca/se/digital/.

USC

Additional student-run support services are offered by the USC, https://westernusc.ca/services/.



Appendix 1 (Alignment of Learning Outcomes)

Course Learning Outcomes	Aligned Philosophy Program Learning Outcomes	Aligned Western Degree Learning Outcomes
Articulate the major themes that animated philosophical discourse in the early modern period primarily in Western Europe in oral and written contexts.	HSp 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 MajP 1, 2, 3, 4	1, 2, 3
Restate and Explain the primary theories and arguments examined in this course in oral and written contexts.	HSp 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	1, 2, 3
Question the theories and arguments presented by the figures and texts studied in oral and written contexts.	MajP 1, 2, 3, 4 HSp 2, 4, 5, 6 MajP 2, 4	6, 7
Apply knowledge about the intellectual contexts of the figures and texts studied to interpretations of those texts in oral and written contexts.	HSp 2, 4 MajP 2, 4	3, 5, 6
Compare and Contrast interpretations of the figures and texts studied in oral and written contexts.	HSp 2, 4 MajP 2, 4	1, 3, 5, 6
Collect and Arrange premises and conclusion(s) from the texts studied into rigorous argument reconstructions in oral and written contexts.	HSp 2, 4, 7 MajP 2, 4, 6	3, 6
Construct coherent and plausible contextualist defenses of their own interpretations and reconstructions in oral written contexts.	HSp 4, 7, 8, 10 MajP 4, 6, 7, 8	4, 6
Evaluate from a contextualist standpoint the coherence and plausibility of interpretations in oral written contexts.	HSp 7, 8, 10 MajP 6, 7, 8	4, 6, 7

Appendix 2 (iClicker Cloud)

I will be using iClicker to make our class time more engaging. This will help me understand what you know, give everyone a chance to participate, and increase how much you learn in class. This will also provide you with feedback on how well you are comprehending course concepts, help you master challenging concepts, and allow you to review material after class.

Participating in my iClicker sessions will provide you with extra credit awarded to your Reading Review Questions grade. Students receive 2 pts extra credit for participating in the iClicker questions and 3 more points for getting the answer right.

You are required to participate with the iClicker student app on a smartphone, tablet, or laptop. It is your responsibility to follow the steps below to properly get added to my iClicker course in a timely fashion. It is also your responsibility to regularly check your iClicker records for any discrepancies and bring them to my attention.

Now the fun part! Participate in my iClicker class activities.

- I have turned on a GPS location requirement for iClicker in our class. If you are not physically in class when you try to join with iClicker, you will not be counted as present and will not be able to respond to my polling/quizzing questions.
 - You will need to allow the device you are using to reveal your location in order to successfully check in.
 - If you have any issues with your device's location settings, refer to the <u>Attendance</u> <u>Geolocation Troubleshooting Guide.</u>
- When it's time for class, make sure you have selected my course from the main screen of iClicker.
 - Select the **Join** button that appears on your screen, then answer each question I ask in iClicker.
 - o For short answer, numeric, and target questions, make sure you select **Send**.

Keep track of your attendance, review your work, and study after class in iClicker.

- You can review your attendance record in iClicker, making it easy for you to manage your course attendance.
- You can review your performance and participation in iClicker.



Appendix 2 (iClicker Cloud)

Academic Integrity Information

iClicker activities fall under the provisions of our campus academic honesty policy. Students must not engage in academic dishonesty while participating in iClicker activities. This includes but is not limited to:

- Checking in while not physically in class
- Having another student check you into class
- Answering polling questions while not physically in class
- Looking at other students' devices while answering live questions
- Using more than one iClicker remote or account at a time

Any student found to be in violation of these rules will lose their iClicker points for the entire term and may be reported to the Academic Dean.

Need help with iClicker?

- If you are having trouble with your iClicker access code, check out this <u>guide to</u> access code errors.
- If you are having issues connecting to the iClicker student app, check out these iClicker connectivity tips.
- If you are having issues seeing your iClicker points, check out this <u>troubleshooting</u> guide.
- Find answers to other questions and contact the iClicker Tech Support Team by visiting http://iclicker.com/support at any time.



Appendix 3 (Interpretation Paper Guidelines)

Interpretation is one of the critical skills historians of philosophy must master. (It is also valuable for practitioners of contemporary philosophy.) For historians of philosophy, it provides the object of philosophical scrutiny and assessment. So, for historians of philosophy, everything begins with an act of interpretation.

The following may be a convenient way for us to think about what an act of interpretation is: an **act of interpretation** =df the process of transforming a text into a philosophical object. We can identify four stages to this process.

- 1. Analyzing the Text
- 2. Mapping the Textual Analysis into a Logical Format
- 3. Philosophically Analyzing the Logical Format
- 4. Filling any Logical Gaps (or Explaining Why the Author Could Not Fill Them)

Analyzing the Text

The purpose of this is to identify what is to be interpreted and how the author presents their philosophical ideas. Typically, the texts we are interested in interpreting contain arguments, explanations, or philosophical doctrines. Sometimes these are neatly contained in a paragraph or two, but sometimes they can be spread across several pages of text. Here we are largely interested in three things: (a) identifying what the author is presenting to us (argument, explanation, or philosophical doctrine); (b) identifying where the author's presentation begins and where it ends; and (c) identifying the parts of the presentation, especially the thesis, *explanandum*, or core theses. The justification for a textual analysis is the passage or text itself.

Mapping the Text into a Logical Format

As your philosophical development progresses, you will learn different logical frameworks that you can map texts into. For our class, as a second-year course, we will adopt what I like to call a "quasi-logical" or "natural" framework. The logical items will be expressed in a natural, yet philosophically rigorous, language and arrayed in a logical or hierarchical order. Examples will be given in class, but the basic idea is that the text (e.g., an argument) should be mapped into something with the following form:

- 1. Premise A
- 2. Premise B
- 3. Sub-conclusion C1
- 4. Premise D
- 5. Premise E
- 6. Conclusion C

Premises and conclusions represented here should be traced back to constituents of the analyzed text. Students should recognize that there may not be a clear one-to-one





Appendix 3 (Interpretation Paper Guidelines)

relationship between sentences in the text and premises or conclusions. And students should strive to present the ideas more clearly than they were presented in the text, which often requires using technical philosophical terminology and sometimes straying from its expression in the text being interpreted. But even when one is using language that strays from the expressions within the text, it is the text itself that justifies the mapping. And anytime we are looking at a text we need to remember the contextualist constraints that should be imposed on our reading and thinking about the text. It is especially important that we pay attention to contextualist aspects when we are paraphrasing or importing technical philosophical terminology into our expression of the philosophical meaning of the text.

Analyzing the Mapping

It is here that we begin to get philosophical. We need to philosophically analyze the argument, explanation, or doctrine that we've found in the text. Here the primary goal is again identification, but now we are identifying problems or weaknesses in what we've found in the text. Most often, these are gaps and unstated assumptions (because most arguments are enthymematic) or items that require additional support or explanation. Identifying them, and where they occur in the mapping, is important because it is a necessary condition for the fourth and final part of the interpretative process.

Filling the Gaps

Again, we need to be philosophical here. We need to supply the premises, principles, or ideas that make the mapping as coherent and cogent as it can be. This is something that we owe (not to the original author) to Philosophy itself and to all philosophers. In other words, I view this as a professional duty and trust that we have to make our interpretations as strong as we can. This does not mean that we should be adding what we think is philosophically strongest or the best or what we would add were we the author. Our additions are constrained by the contextual conditions that we impose on our thinking about the text. Furthermore, they should be constrained by our understanding of the author's other texts and places where they commit themselves to philosophical positions. We never want to attribute to an interpretation ideas that an author would not or could not accept as part of our interpretation of a text, for that would misrepresent the text.

It is only after we have an interpretation in hand that we can begin philosophically assessing the argument, explanation, or doctrine. But that is another kind of philosophical skill that we'll save for a later class.



Appendix 3 (Interpretation Paper Guidelines)

The Project

Students are required to write a short (750–1000 word) essay providing a coherent and plausible philosophical interpretation of a selected passage from our assigned texts. The eligible passage(s) will be specified in the OWL Assignment Information. The assignment is eligible for the automatic 48-hour grace period and will be graded numerically with qualitative feedback available upon request.

The passage you are to interpret is:

Leibniz's Answer to Samuel Clarke

Gottfried Leibniz, *Letters to Samuel Clarke*, in M&S 351–53.

Concrete Deliverable DUE 23:59 Eastern, 15 Mar 2024

• 750–1000 word essay

Project Parameters

- Presents a coherent and cogent interpretation of the assigned passage.
- Defends that interpretation.

Assessment

Eligible for the 48-hour automatic grace period Graded 0–100 Qualitative feedback available on request Submit via OWL Assignments

Learning Outcomes

- 1. **Collect** and **Arrange** premises and conclusion(s) from the texts studied into rigorous argument reconstructions in written contexts.
- 2. **Construct** coherent and plausible contextualist defenses of their own interpretations and reconstructions in written contexts.
- 3. **Evaluate** from a contextualist standpoint the coherence and plausibility of interpretations in written contexts.



Appendix 4 (Critical Review Ex. Credit Project)

Writing a critical resource review is probably different than any other type of writing you have done before. It is helpful to start with an understanding of what a resource review is: An honest and in-depth assessment of the value of a resource by a knowledgeable authority to aid potential users/readers in deciding whether to spend their valuable time using the resource. A good review will convey the contents of the resource, but it will also do much more than that. (Hint: a crucial review is not a mere report!) A reviewer needs to have a thorough understanding of the resource and a solid, authoritative command over the field/genre, topic(s), and theme(s) covered by the resource. A reviewer also needs to have a good sense of the audience to whom the review is directed and what their interests and concerns are. Finally, a reviewer needs to recognize and understand the information that needs to be conveyed to the audience to assist their decision to use or to avoid the resource. (Hint: Simply telling readers that you enjoyed the resource or found it to be informative does almost nothing to help them determine whether they ought or ought not to use the resource.)

One of the first things a reviewer has to determine is, who is the audience? For this assignment, we will specify the audience for you: Thoughtful and reflective people who have taken or are interested in taking this course, Philosop 2202G: [Western] Early Modern Philosophy. In other words, you are writing your review for your (idealized) peers. We will also specify the audience's interests and concerns for you: They are interested in reading what expands their understanding of major themes, figures, and texts animating philosophical discourse during the early modern era. Your job as reviewer, then, is to critically evaluate and present this resource to such a potential user/reader. Hint: Critically assessing does not mean just criticizing or finding negative things to say about the resource—it means evaluating (either positively, negatively, or a mixture of both) the critical features of the resource's intellectual content and judging its significance and worth to the field.

RECOMMENDED PROCESS

- Initially work through the resource (if a book, read it) in its entirety, straight-through to get a good sense of its overall shape and argumentative structure.
- Compile your thoughts and notes to construct a **synopsis** of the resource's content. A synopsis is like a brief abstract or overview (I'd recommend aiming for approximately 200-300 words.) The synopsis should clearly identify the main thesis of the book or resource and reconstruct its central argument.
- Situate the resource in the context of other's work in the field on that topic. Don't be shy about researching the topic and exploring other relevant writings and work.



Appendix 4 (Critical Review Ex. Credit Project)

- Reflect on the ideas and themes that ought to be most important for, and of most interest to, your target audience. Determine a provisional assessment for these ideas/themes and identify your reasons.
- Sketch a rough outline of how you wish to present these ideas and themes.
- Work through the resource again (reread it, if it is a book) more carefully (and slowly, if necessary) with an eye toward (a) finalizing (and narrowing, if necessary) your list of important themes and ideas, (b) finalizing (and altering as necessary) your preliminary and provisional assessments of these ideas and themes, and (c) identifying and flagging specific examples and instances within the text that illustrate and support your assessments.
- Rework and fill out your rough preliminary outline of your review.
- Add an overall assessment regarding the value of the resource as a whole and its importance for practitioners in the field.
- Draft your review (revising and finalizing as necessary).
- Ideally: Review the resource's contents again confirming your presentation of the book's content, your critical assessments, and your choice of examples and citations. Change or alter as necessary.



Appendix 4 (Critical Review Ex. Credit Project)

The Critical Review Extra Credit Project

Write and submit a **critical book review (minimum 1000-words)** of the book you have selected for the optional extra credit opportunity. In addition to describing the book's main theme(s), thesis, and argument(s), the review should engage critically with its thesis and argument and provide to readers an informed, insightful, and considered assessment of the lasting philosophical value of the book and its relevance to them.

Concrete Deliverable DUE 23:59 Eastern, 12 April 2024

- A minimum 1000-word text document containing your critical review.
- It is permitted to include supplemental figures, illustrations, or tables (e.g., concept maps, argument maps or inference trees, tables of statistics or other information, etc.). Such supplemental representations of information will not count toward the minimum 1000-word limit.

Project Parameters

- You are providing an **informed** and **considered assessment** of the resource's **lasting value and significance** for readers interested in early modern philosophy.
- You should be displaying **complete mastery** and **command** over the resource's **contents**, their **meaning**, and their **philosophical significance**.
- You should **clearly identify** what are the key features of the resource's **thesis** and the **structure of its central argument(s)**.
- Your critical comments should be **insightful**, **directed at core features** of the resource's argument, and **supported** by **clear examples**.
- Your critical comments should be **directly connected to** and **intersecting** with the main theme(s) of the course.

Assessment

Graded 0–100 according to the Critical Review Ex. Credit Grading Rubric Qualitative Feedback based on the Critical Review Ex. Credit Grading Rubric upon request only

Not eligible for automatic 48-hour grace period (per University Senate regulations)

Learning Outcomes

- 1. Successful students will be able to articulate the main themes, topics, figures, and texts from the tradition(s) explored by their chosen resource. [1, 2]
- 2. Successful students will be able to explain, criticize, and assess the ideas and arguments presented as central to those tradition(s). [3]
- 3. Successful students will be able to compare, articulate, and critically assess traditions vis-à-vis the Western European tradition studied in the rest of the course. [4, 5, 6]



Appendix 4 (Critical Review Ex. Credit Project)

Critical Review Extra Credit Grading Rubric							
Categories	Exemplary	Superior	Adequate	Inadequate			
	(4 +/-)	(3 +/-)	(2 +/-)	(1 +/-)			
Presentation	The review accurately and	The review accurately	The review accurately		ly identifies the		
of the	honestly conveys the	conveys the central theses	identifies the central theses		the resource and		
Resource's	central theses and all the	and some of the primary	of the resource but ignores	ignores or confuses the author's			
Thesis and	primary supportive ideas of	supportive ideas of the	most of the primary	theses and contributions to the			
Argument	the resource. Presents an in-	resource. Presents in some	supportive ideas. Presents a	theme. Misrepresents or mischaracterizes the resource's			
	depth and sophisticated	detail an appropriate	brief and crude outline of				
	precis of its overall argument	overview of the resource's	the resource's argumentative	argumentative st			
	and correctly identifies the	argument and correctly	structure and evidentiary	evidentiary base. Demonstrates a superficial or simplified			
	most significant logical moves	identifies some of its most	base. Demonstrates a limited				
	in the argument.	significant logical moves.	understanding of the	understanding	of the author's		
	Demonstrates a mastery of	Demonstrates a moderate	author's thoughts.	thoughts.			
	the author's thoughts.	understanding of the					
		author's thoughts.					
Focus on the	Demonstrates clearly	Indicates in some detail	Provides some general or	Fails to conside	er the resource's		
Philosophical	where and how the resource	places where the resource	vague indications of the	connection with t			
Themes	intersects with the course's	intersects with the course's	resource's connection(s) with	presented in the			
Relevant to	theme (Western early modern	themes and explains	the material presented in the		dern philosophy.		
(or	philosophy). Explains	somewhat how they	course regarding Western		nisidentifies the		
Intersecting	forcefully and	intersect. Appropriately	early modern philosophy.	relevance of the r			
with)	compellingly the	explains how the resource	Provides little or	readers concerne	d with Western		
Philosophical	contribution(s) the resource	contributes to the	inappropriate	early modern phi	losophy.		
Peers	makes to readers' conception	development of readers'	explanation for how the				
	of the nature and dynamics of	conception of the nature and	resource contributes to				
	Western early modern	dynamics of Western early	readers' understanding of the				
	philosophy.	modern philosophy.	course topic.				
Critical	Presents insightful and	Presents assessments with an	Presents some critical	Critical assessme			
Assessment	well-supported assessments	appropriate degree of	assessment with limited or	directed only a	directed only at minor technical		
and Judgment	directed at core primary	support. Judgments are	unclear support.	details and features irrelevant to			
of the Worth	ideas or key logical moves	directed at important ideas	Judgments are occasionally	the theses, the primary ideas, and			
of the	in the argument. Clear,	or ideas relevant to readers	directed at important or	the argument of the resource.			
Resource	detailed examples from the	interested in early modern	relevant ideas. References to	Accusations are unsubstantiated and not supported by examples			
	text are presented or cited.	philosophy. Examples from	the text are general , vague ,				
		the text are presented or cited.	or fail to support the	or citations from	the text.		
			judgments offered.				
Completeness	Response includes all	Response includes all	Response is missing some	Response excludes essential components and/or does not			
	components and meets or	components and	components and/or does				
	exceeds all requirements	minimally meets all	not fully meet the	address the rec			
	indicated in the instructions.	requirements indicated in	requirements indicated in		nstructions. Many		
	Each question or part of the	the instructions. Each	the instructions. Some	parts of the assignment are			
	assignment is addressed	question or part of the	questions or parts of the	addressed inac			
	thoroughly.	assignment is addressed.	assignment are not	and/or not at a	11.		
			addressed.				
Overall	100-80	79-70	69–60	59-50	49>		
Assessment	Could not reasonably	Above average work for	Average work for students at	Below	Failing work.		
	demand better work from a	students at this level. May	this level. May include	average work	No submission,		
	student at this level. 4 or	include a 2+ in one area,	exemplary marks (3- or	for students at	submission		
	greater in all areas of the	if outweighed by	greater) in one or two	this level. May	formally		
	rubric.	exemplary and strongly	areas that are	include a 2- in	unacceptable or		
		superior marks in the	overwhelmed by adequate	an area that is	so poorly		
		remaining areas.	marks in the other areas.	overwhelmed	completed as to		
				by other marks.	warrant no		
					credit.		
Desiderata not s	pecifically assessed in the rubric. I	out which will directly affect the g	rade of the review include Profess	ional Tone, Gramm	ar and Style, and		
Proofing Mistak				-,	.5 - ,		

